UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,874	11/13/2003	Tian-Li Wang	001107.00391 8148	
22907 7590 08/06/2007 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.		EXAMINER		
1100 13th STR	•		MITCHELL, LAURA MCGILLEM	
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-4051		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1636	
			MAIL DATE	. DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/705,874	WANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	•
Laura M. Mitchell	1636	

,	Laura W. Millonell	1030	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	•
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the c	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	1.7	136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension			
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s			
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)		ite of the final rejection, o	even if timely filed,
NOTICE OF APPEAL	•		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter			
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed			
AMENDMENTS			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	·	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	<u>. </u>	•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provide status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: 87-90.			
Claim(s) objected to: 6-9,14,16,17,19,21-23,39,43-46,51,	53-55 and 57.		
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 3-5, 10-13, 15, 18, 20, 24, 37-38, 40		<u>91</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			**
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
,			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Once a final rejection that is not premature has been entered in an application, applicant or patent owner no longer has any right to unrestricted further prosecution. For reasons given above, the amended claims have not been entered. The amendments do not place the application either in condition for allowance or in better form for appeal. Applicant is invited to review MPEP 714.12.

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendments to the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration. Specifically, the amendments to claims 1 and 43 include the limitation that the window of sequence tags spans "about 40 kb". Claims 7-9 are dependent on claim 1. Claims 44-46 are dependent on claim 43. Claims 7 and 44 recite the limitation that the window spans about 200kb, claims 8 and 45 recite the limitation that the window spans about 600kb, and claims 9 and 46 recite the limitation that the window spans about 4 Mb. Since independent claims 1 and 43 already limit the window to "about 40 kb", it is not clear how the window can be 200kb, or 600 kb or 4 Mb. Rather than further limiting the size of the window of sequence tags, the dependent claims recite limitations that require that the window is larger. Therefore, the proposed amendment will not be entered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-8783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura McGillem Mitchell, PhD Examiner 7/27/2007

PRIMARY EXAMINER